

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/926,589	Applicant(s) ROUSSEAU ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael G. Hartley	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Michael G. Hartley.

(3) _____.

(2) Wm. Player (Appl. repr.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Player to request providing a Post card receipt as evidence that an IDS was filed 09/926,589, as such filing was contradictory to the PTO's record. Mr. Player informed the examiner that the post card receipt was not available, but would look into docket records and log books. Mr. Player was advised by the examiner that any responses filed under 1.312 filed after the payment of the issue fee must be done accordingly (see attached response to 1.312).